arXiv:1109.1043v1 [gr-qc] 6 Sep 2011

Phantom Accretion onto the Schwarzschild de-Sitter Black Hole [*]

M. Sharif [†]and G. Abbas
Department of Mathematics, University of the Punjab,
Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.

We deal with phantom energy accretion onto the Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole. The energy flux conservation, relativistic Bernoulli equation and mass flux conservation equation are formulated to discuss the phantom accretion. We discuss the conditions for critical accretion. It is found that mass of the black hole decreases due to phantom accretion. There exist two critical points which lie in the exterior of horizons (black hole and cosmological horizons). The results for the phantom energy accretion onto the Schwarzschild black hole can be recovered by taking $\Lambda \to 0$.

PACS: 04.70.Bw, 04.70.Dy, 95.35.+d

Recent development in the observational cosmology reveals that our universe is in accelerating phase. This was first confirmed by the data of type-Ia Supernova and a large-scale structure.[1−4] Also, the anisotropies in cosmic microwave back ground (CMB) radiations as observed by WMAP[5−7] favor the accelerating behavior of universe. The exotic energy with negative pressure, known as *dark energy* (DE), is thought to be responsible for this behavior of the universe. Despite of observational facts, the nature of DE is still a challenging problem in theoretical physics.

Different models such as quintessence [8], phantom [9], tachyon field,[10], holographic [11] and brane-world [12] models were proposed to understand the nature of DE. The simplest form of DE is vacuum energy (cosmological constant) for which the equation of state (EoS) parameter is $\omega = -1$. The quintessence (dynamically evolving scalar field with negative pressure) and phantom are in a hypothetical form of DE for which $\omega > -1$ and $\omega < -1$, respectively.[13−15] Phantom energy violates the dominant energy condition (i.e., $\rho + p < 0$, where ρ is energy density and p is pressure) which results in existence of wormholes. The expansion of the universe is dominated by the phantom energy which diverges to approach the future singularity (Big Rip). In this case, the phantom energy density $\rho \to \infty$ for $t < \infty$.

---

[*] Supported by the Higher Education Commission, Islamabad, Pakistan through the Indigenous Ph.D. 5000 Fellowship Program Batch-IV.

[†] Email: msharif.math@pu.edu.pk

Bondi[16] investigated the problem of matter accretion onto the compact objects in Newtonian gravity. Michel[17] studied the steady state accretion of gas onto the Schwarzschild black hole (BH) in relativistic physics. Many researchers studied the accretion of different forms of fluid onto the BH. Babichev *et al.*[18] have shown that accretion of the phantom energy onto Schwarzschild BH diminishes the BH mass. Jamil *et al.*[19] have explored the effects of phantom accretion onto the charged BH. They pointed out that if mass of the BH becomes smaller (due to accretion of phantom energy) than its charge, then the BH is converted to a naked singularity. This is the violation of the cosmic censorship hypothesis. The same conclusion was deduced by Babichev *et al.*[20] by studying the phantom accretion onto the charged BH with the generalized linear EoS and a Chaplygin gas. Madrid *et al.*[21] explored that a Kerr-Newmann BH could be transformed to a naked singularity by the accretion of phantom energy. In a recent study,[22] we have examined the phantom accretion by the 5D charged BH.

The Schwarzschild de-Sitter (SdS) BH is a solution with vacuum energy (cosmological constant) which helps to describe the BH formation in the process of the universe birth[23]. This is important for the consideration of quantum effects near the BH in the universe models. Many authors[24−26] have considered various cosmological phenomena in the SdS spacetime. Also, our universe is in a phase of accelerated expansion due to positive cosmological constant (DE) and might approach to a de-Sitter phase for $t < \infty$.[4] Martian-Moruno*et al.*[27] studied the DE accretion on the SdS BH with FRW background. They have found that the BH mass vanishes at big rip time. However, they do not explore the location of critical points of accretion.

In this Letter, we investigate the phantom accretion onto a static SdS BH by using the procedure of Jamil *et al.*[19] and discuss the locations of the critical points of accretion. Further, the relations between critical points and horizons are found which were not given by Martian-Moruno *et al.*[19]. The gravitational units (i.e., the gravitational constant G=1 and speed of light in vacuum $c = 1$) are used. All the Latin and Greek indices vary from 0 to 3, otherwise it will be stated.

We consider a static spherically symmetric SdS black hole given by

$$ds^2 = (1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{a^2})dt^2 - \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{a^2})}dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin\theta^2 d\phi^2), \tag{1}$$

where $a = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}$, m and Λ are constants. This metric has essential singularity at $r = 0$, which is covered by the black hole horizons. Such horizons can be found by solving $g_{00} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{a^2} \equiv 0$ for r whose positive real roots will give horizons. Using the approach discussed in Ref. [23] for solving the cubic polynomial, we explore the solution in the following three cases.

Case (i): For $\frac{m}{a} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{27}}$, there are three real roots of which two are positive and one is negative (neglected). The positive and negative roots are given by

$$r_{\rm bh} = \frac{2a}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\varphi, \quad r_{\rm ch} = a(\cos\varphi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\varphi), \quad r_0 = -(r_{\rm bh} + r_{\rm ch}), \tag{2}$$

where $\sin 3\varphi = \sqrt{27}\frac{m}{a}$. The subscripts bh and ch in the above equation stand for the BH and cosmological horizons. When $\Lambda \to 0$ ($a \to \infty$), we obtain $r_{\rm bh} \to 2$m (Schwarzschild horizon) and $r_{\rm ch} \to \infty$ (cosmological horizon does not exists). Also, for $m \to 0$, $r_{\rm bh} \to 0$ and $r_{\rm ch} \to a \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}$ (de-Sitter horizon). We would like to mention here that $r_{\rm ch} > r_{\rm bh}$ for $0 \le \varphi < \frac{\pi}{6}$ which implies that the exterior of the Schwarzschild BH is covered by the de-Sitter universe and $\varphi = \frac{\pi}{6}$, $r_{\rm ch} = r_{\rm bh} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}}$. For $\frac{\pi}{6} < \varphi < \frac{\pi}{3}$, we have $r_{\rm ch} < r_{\rm bh}$ which implies that the de-Sitter spacetime is the interior structure of the Schwarzschild BH.

Case (ii): When $\frac{m}{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{27}}$, there are three real roots of which two are positive (repeated) and one is negative. Since negative root is neglected, so the positive roots give unique horizon, i.e.

$$r = r_{\rm bh} = r_{\rm ch} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}. \tag{3}$$

Case (iii): For $\frac{m}{a} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{27}}$, there are two imaginary roots and one is negative real root, hence no horizon exists in this case.

Now we consider the phantom energy in the form of perfect fluid whose energy-momentum tensor is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_\mu u_\nu - pg_{\mu\nu}, \tag{4}$$

where ρ is the energy density, p is the pressure and $u^\mu = (u^t, u^r, 0, 0)$ is the four-vector velocity. It is mentioned here that u^μ satisfies the normalization condition, i.e., $u^\mu u_\mu = 1$.

The relativistic Bernoulli energy conservation equation for accretion onto SdS black hole (using energy momentum-tensor conservation) is given by

$$r^2 u(\rho + p)\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{a^2} + u^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C_0, \tag{5}$$

where C_0 is an integration constant and $u^r = u < 0$ for inward flow. Further, the energy flux equation can be derived by projecting the energy-momentum conservation law on the four-velocity, i.e., $u_\mu T^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\nu}$=0 for which Eq.(4) leads to

$$r^2 u \exp\left[\int_{\rho_\infty}^{\rho} \frac{d\rho'}{\rho' + p(\rho')}\right] = -C_1, \tag{6}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is another integration constant which is related to the energy flux. Also, ρ and ρ_∞ are densities of the phantom energy at finite and infinite r. From Eqs.(5) and (6), we obtain

$$(\rho + p)\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{a^2} + u^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\int_{\rho_\infty}^{\rho} \frac{d\rho'}{\rho' + p(\rho')}\right] = C_2, \tag{7}$$

where $C_2 = -\frac{C_0}{C1} = \rho_\infty + p(\rho_\infty)$.

The rate of change of BH mass due to fluid accretion onto it is given by [20]

$$\dot{m} = -4\pi r^2 T_0{}^r. \tag{8}$$

Using Eqs.(6) and (7) in the above equation yields

$$\dot{m} = 4\pi C_1[\rho_\infty + p_\infty]. \tag{9}$$

It is clear that $\dot{m} < 0$ if $(\rho_\infty + p_\infty) < 0$. Thus the accretion of phantom energy onto a BH causes to decrease the mass of BH. Moreover, one can solve Eq.(8) for m using the EoS $p = k\rho$. Since all p and ρ violating dominant energy condition must satisfy Eq.(9), hence it holds in general. It is to be noted that if in Eq.(9) the matter contributes to the sum $(\rho_\infty + p_\infty)$ instead of phantom energy, then the accretion of matter would increase the mass of BH. Since this is not the case for matter, there is a decrease of mass.

Now we analyze the critical points (points at which flow speed is equal to speed of sound) during the accretion of fluid on the BH. The fluid falls onto the BH with increasing velocity along the particle trajectories. For any critical point $r = r_c$, we have the following possibilities:[28]

(1) $u^2 = V_s^2$ at $r = r_c$, $u^2 < V_s^2$ for $r > r_c$ and $u^2 > V_s^2$ for $r < r_c$. When $r \to \infty$, the flow speed is ignorable, it is equal to the speed of sound at critical value of r while it is supersonic inside a region interior to r_c.

(2) $u^2 > V_s^2$ and $u^2 < V_s^2$ for all r which are the non-realistic cases as they describe the supersonic and subsonic solution for all values of r.

(3) $u^2 = V_s^2$ for all the values of $r < r_c$ or $r > r_c$. It is also a non-physical case because it is impossible to have the same flow speed inside and outside the critical points.

Thus the solution **1** is the only physical solution.

For the discussion of critical points of accretion onto a BH, we follow the procedure introduced by Michel [17]. The conservation of mass flux, $J^\mu{}_{;\,\mu} = 0$, yields

$$\rho u r^2 = k, \tag{10}$$

where k is the constant of integration. Dividing and squaring Eqs.(5) and (10), we get

$$\left(\frac{\rho + p}{\rho}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{a^2} + u^2\right) = k_1, \tag{11}$$

where $k_1 = (\frac{C_0}{k})^2$ is a positive constant. Differentiating Eqs.(10) and (11) and eliminating $d\rho$, we get

$$\frac{dr}{r}\left[2V^2 - \frac{\frac{m}{r} + \frac{r^2}{a^2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{a^2} + u^2}\right] + \frac{du}{u}\left[V^2 - \frac{u^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{r^2}{a^2} + u^2}\right] = 0. \tag{12}$$

where $V^2 = \frac{d\ln(\rho + p)}{d\ln\rho} - 1$.

This equation shows that turn-around points (critical points) are located where both the square brackets vanish. Thus

$$u_c{}^2 = \frac{ma^2 + r_c{}^3}{2a^2 r_c}, \quad V_c{}^2 = \frac{ma^2 + r_c^3}{2a^2 r_c - 3ma^2 - r_c{}^3}. \tag{13}$$

We see that the physically acceptable solutions of the above equation are obtained if ${u_c}^2 > 0$ and ${V_c}^2 > 0$ implying that

$$2a^2 r_c - 3ma^2 - {r_c}^3 > 0, \tag{14}$$

$$ma^2 + {r_c}^3 > 0. \tag{15}$$

It is worthwhile to mention here that when $a \to \infty$, i.e., $\Lambda \to 0$, the above equations reduce to the results of accretion onto the Schwarzschild BH. The subscript c is used to represent a quantity at a point where speed of flow is equal to the speed of sound, such a point is called a critical point. The fluid that moves towards the BH hole initially has speed less than the speed of sound but as it comes closer to the BH horizons, its speed may transit to a supersonic level. The circular region around the BH where flow speed is equal to the speed of sound is called a sound horizon. The flow speed is supersonic (subsonic) inside (outside) the sound horizons. Inside the sound horizon $r < r_c$, the flow speed is supersonic but less than the speed of light, as fluid reaches the BH horizon, the flow speed approaches to the speed of light. After crossing the BH horizon, it becomes greater than the speed of light.

Now we discuss the EoS and its parameter for understanding the accretion of phantom onto the SdS BH. It is obvious that for polytropic EoS, $p = k\rho$ and $k < 0$, the speed of sound (i.e., ${V^2}_s = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}$) becomes meaningless and fluid acts as exotic cosmic fluid that cannot be accreted onto a BH. This problem was solved by Babichev *et al.*[18]. They introduced a generalized linear EoS, i.e., $p = \alpha(\rho - \rho_0)$, where α and ρ_0 are constants and $\alpha > (<)0$ corresponds to a stable (unstable) fluid. This EoS leads to ${V^2}_s = \alpha$. The constant α is related to the EoS parameter k by $k = \alpha\frac{(\rho-\rho_0)}{\rho}$. This implies that $k < 0$ corresponds to $\alpha > 0$ and $\rho < \rho_0$ and phantom energy acts as hydrodynamically stable fluid. Thus it accretes onto the BH and diminishes its mass.

Using the procedure for solving the cubic equation mentioned in Ref.[23], Eq.(14) can be solved in the following cases.

Case 1: For $\frac{m}{a} < \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{9\sqrt{3}}$, there are three real roots of which two are positive and one is negative (neglected). The positive roots are given by

$$r_{c_1} = \frac{2a\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\chi, \quad r_{c_2} = \sqrt{2}a(\cos\chi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\chi), \tag{16}$$

where $\sin 3\chi = \frac{m}{a}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}$. For $0 < \chi < \frac{\pi}{6}$, we have $0 < r_{c_1} < r_{c_2}$, thus r_{c_1} and r_{c_2} are inner and outer critical points of flow. For $\chi = \frac{\pi}{6}$, both the critical pints coincide, i.e., $r_{c_1} = r_{c_2} = r_c = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}a$ while for $\varphi = \frac{\pi}{6}$, $r_{\rm ch} = r_{\rm bh} = r = \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}}$, hence $r_c > r$. This is not physical and will be discussed in the following case 3. Further, taking $0 < \chi = \varphi < \frac{\pi}{6}$ and comparing Eqs.(2) and (16), we get a relation between horizons and critical values of r, i.e., $r_{c_2} > r_{c_1} > r_{c_{\rm ch}} > r_{\rm bh} > 0$. This implies that curvature singularity at $r = 0$ is covered by different circular boundaries of radii $\tilde{r}(> 0) = r_{\rm bh} < r_{c_{\rm ch}} < r_{c_1} < r_{c_2}$. We conclude from here that both the critical points lie outside the horizons. In order to obtain the critical points, we use the solution of Eq.(14) given by (16). Substituting Eq.(16) into Eq.(15), yields

$$\frac{m}{a} = \frac{16}{3}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sin\chi(\cos^2\chi - 1), \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{m}{a} = \frac{2}{27}\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{3}\sin\chi - 3\cos\chi). \tag{18}$$

Since $-1 \leq |\cos\chi| \leq 1$, Eq.(17) implies that $\frac{m}{a} \leq 0$, which is in contradiction, hence r_{c_1} is not a physical accretion solution. Further, Eq.(18) gives $\frac{m}{a} > 0$ for $\chi > \frac{\pi}{3}$, thus r_{c_2} is a possible critical accretion solution.

Case 2: When $\frac{m}{a} > \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{9\sqrt{3}}$, there is only one negative real root and other two are complex. Consequently, this case has no physical solution and hence no critical points.

Case 3: For $\frac{m}{a} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{9\sqrt{3}}$, there are two positive repeated roots and one is negative root. The positive root is

$$r_{c_1} = r_{c_2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}a = r_c. \tag{19}$$

These roots are not physically critical points because applications of these roots in Eq.(15) lead to $\frac{m}{a} < 0$, which is in contradiction, hence this case is also discarded.

We have devoted to study the phantom accretion onto the SdS BH. Using the energy flux conservation, the relativistic Bernoulli equation and the mass flux conservation equation, we formulate the equations of motions for a steady-state spherically symmetric phantom flow onto the SdS BH. The results reduce to the Schwarzschild BH case [17] when $a \to \infty$ ($i.e., \Lambda \to 0$). The summary of the results is given as follows:

(1) There are two horizons (BH and cosmological)and two critical points, such that $r_{\rm bh} < r_{\rm ch} < r_{c_2}$.

(2) The particular case $r_{c_1} = r_{c_2} = r_c$ is not a physical critical point because it gives $\frac{m}{a} < 0$, which is in contradiction.

(3) The solution r_{c_2} provides a physical critical point because it yields $\frac{m}{a} > 0$ for $\chi > \frac{\pi}{6}$.

(4) Analytically, we can also determine (by using $\chi > \frac{\pi}{6}$ in Eq.(16)) that $r_{c_1} > r_{c_2}$, but physically it is impossible to reverse the solution, so this case is discarded.

(5) Physically possible critical point always lies outside the horizons. This is according to the cases of accretion onto Schwarzschild BH [17] and charged BH [19].

References

[1] Perlmutter S et al 1997 Astrophys. J. **483** 565

[2] Perlmutter S et al 1998 Nature **391** 51 DOI:10.1038/ Nature.391.51

[3] Perlmutter S et al 1999 Astrophys. J. **517** 565

[4] Riess A G et al 1998 Astron. J. **116** 1009

[5] Bennett C L et al 2003 Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148** 1

[6] Spergel D N et al 2003 Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148** 175

[7] Verde L et al 2002 Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **335** 432

[8] Sahni V and Starobinsky A A 2000 Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **9** 373

[9] Caldwell R R 2002 Phys. Lett. B **23** 545

[10] Sen A J 2002 High Energy Phys. **48** 204

[11] Wang B, Gong Y G and Abdalla E 2005 Phys. Lett. B **624** 141

[12] Li M 2004 Phys. Lett. B **603** 1

[13] Frieman J A et al 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** 2077

[14] Coble K, Dodelson S and Frieman J A 1997 Phys. Rev. D **55** 1851

[15] Hinshaw G et al 2009 Astrophys. J. Suppl. **180** 225

[16] Bondi H 1952 Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **112** 195

[17] Michel F C 1972 Astrophys. Space Sci. **15** 153

[18] Babichev E, Dokuchaev V and Eroshenko Y 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** 021102

[19] Jamil M, Rashid M and Qadir A 2008 Eur. Phys. J. C **58** 325

[20] Babichev E, Chernov S, Dokuchaev V and Eroshenko Y 2011 J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **112**784

[21] Madrid J A Jimenez and Gonzalez-Dias P F 2008 Gravit. Cosmol. **14**213

[22] Sharif M and Abbas G 2011 Mod. Phys. Lett. A **26** 1731

[23] Sung-Won K 1991 J. Korean Phys. Soc. **24** 118

[24] Gibbons G W and Hawking S W 1997 Phys. Rev. D **15** 2752

[25] Khanel U and Panchapakesan N 1981 Phys. Rev. D **24** 829

[26] Nagai H 1972 Prog. Theor. Phys. **82** 322

[27] Martin-Moruno P et al 2009 Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **41** 2797

[28] Padmanabhan T 2000 *Theoretical Astrophysics: Astrophysical Processes* (Cambridge: Cambridge University) vol 1.